# NOIDA METRO RAIL CORPORATION LTD.

Name of Tender - Contract NGNEDDC: "Detail Design Consultant (DDC) for Civil, Architectural and E&M works including Traction works for Elevated Sections of Extension

Projects of Aqua Line from Noida Sec-51 to Knowledge Park-V, Noida Sec-142 to Botanical Garden & Depot Station to Boraki including augmentation of existing depot and RSS

works (31.595 km)."

# E-Tender no. - NMRC/Projects/NGNEDDC/2025/415

# ADDENDUM-3

### **SUMMARY SHEET**

Sr. No.	Volume	Document Name	Clause No./Item No.	Page No.	Addendum/Corrigendum	Remarks				
1	Volume-1	NIT	1.1.3 (iii)	7	Addition of Note for Clarification on Conflict of Interest.	Replace NIT's Page 7 by 7R.				
2	Volume-1	NIT	1.1.3.1 & 1.1.3.2	15-16	Correction in Typographical error in Clause/Serial no.	Replace NIT's Page 15R - 16R by 15R2 - 16R2.				
2	Volume-1	NIT	point (k) of Note of 1.1.3.2 of NIT	18	Addition of Traction Work in point k	Replace NIT's Page 18R by 18R2.				
3	Volume-1	ITT	Annexure-01	31	Modification in Annexure-01 of ITT	Replace ITT's Page 31 by 31R.				
4	Volume-1	ITT	Annexure-01 33 Modification & Addition in Table-02 of Annexure-01 of ITT		Replace ITT's Page 33R by 33R2.					
5	Volume-1	ITT	TT Annexure-01 34-		Modification in Table-03 of Annexure- 01 of ITT	Replace ITT's Page 34-36 & 37R by 34R-36R & 37R2.				
6	Volume-1	ITT	Annexure-01	39	Modification & Addition in Table-04 of Annexure-01 of ITT	Replace ITT's Page 39R by 39R2.				
7	Corrections to the reply of the Pre-Bid Queries uploaded via Addendum-2.									
8	Reply of the Pre-Bid Queries no. 2.									
8			Replace BOQ Exce	l File by Upda	ted BOQ Excel File uploaded alongwith A	ddendum-3.				

- (iii) Tenderers shall not have a conflict of interest. All Tenderers found to have a conflict of interest shall be disqualified. Tenderers shall be considered to have a conflict of interest with one or more parties in this bidding process, if:
  - a tenderer has been engaged by the Employer to provide consulting services for the preparation related to procurement for an implementation of the project;
  - b. a tenderer is any associates/affiliates (inclusive of parent firms) mentioned in subparagraph (a) above; or
  - c. a tenderer lends, or temporarily seconds its personnel to firms or organizations which are engaged in consulting services for or implementation of the project, if the personnel would be involved in any capacity on the same project.

NOTE: Necessary care has been taken by the Employer to ensure that the Scope of Works under the DDC Contract (NGNEDDC) and Scope of GC are distinctly defined so as to avoid any conflict of interest. Accordingly, the successful bidder of either tender is not barred from participating in other tenders.

However, in the event that a conflict of interest is observed at any stage, the deliverables under the DDC Contract shall be subject to review, independent design check, or validation by an independent third party, duly approved by the Employer. The cost of such third-party scrutiny shall be borne entirely by the General Consultant, without any financial implication to the Employer.

- (iv) A firm, who has purchased the tender document in their name, can submit the tender either as individual firm or in joint venture/Consortium. However, the lead partner in case of JV, any partner shall be one who have experience of Detail Design Consultancy (DDC) work pertaining to Proof Checking of design of Civil (Structural), Design of Architectural and Building Services including E&M works of viaduct and elevated station(s) for Metro Rail /High Speed Rail /Railways corridor/section as defined in clause 1.1.3.2 A of NIT.
- (v) (a) NMRC/ any other Metro Organization (100% owned by Govt.) / Ministry of Housing & Urban Affairs / Order of Ministry of Commerce, applicable for all Ministries must not have banned business with the tenderer (including any member in case of JV/consortium) as on the date of tender submission. The tenderer should submit undertaking to this effect in Appendix-17 of Form of Tender.
  - (b) Also, no contract of the tenderer of the value more than 10% of NIT cost of work, executed either individually or in a JV/Consortium, should have been rescinded / terminated by NMRC / any other Metro Organization (100% owned by Govt.) after award during last 03 years (from the last day of the previous month of tender submission) due to non-performance of the tenderer or any of JV/Consortium members. The tenderer should submit undertaking to this effect in Appendix-17 of Form of Tender
  - (c) The overall performance of the tenderer (all members in case of JV/Consortium separately) shall be examined for all the ongoing DDC works of Civil, Architectural and Building services including E&M works awarded by NMRC/ any other Metro Organisation (100% owned by Govt.) of value more than 40% of NIT cost of work and also for all the completed DDC works of Civil, Architectural and Building services including E&M works awarded by any NMRC/ other Metro Organisation (100% owned by Govt.) within last one year (from the last day of the previous month of tender submission), of value more

- (ii) In case of a partnership firm, the beneficial owner is the natural person(s) who, whether acting alone or together, or through one or more juridical person, has ownership of entitlement to more than fifteen percent of capital or profits of the partnership;
- (iii) In case of an unincorporated association or body of individuals, the beneficial owner is the natural person(s), who, whether acting alone or together, or through one or more juridical person, has ownership of or entitlement to more than fifteen percent of the property or capital or profits of such association or body of individuals;
- (iv) Where no natural person is identified under (i) or (ii) or (iii) above, the beneficial owner is the relevant natural person who holds the position of senior managing official;
- (v) In case of a trust, the identification of beneficial owner(s) shall include identification of the author of the trust, the trustee, the beneficiaries with fifteen percent or more interest in the trust and any other natural person exercising ultimate effective control over the trust through a chain of control or ownership.

"Agent" is a person employed to do any act for another, or to represent another in dealings with third persons

# 1.1.3.2 Minimum Eligibility Criteria:

# A. Work Experience:

Tenderer's (either a single entity/ JV/ Consortium) will be qualified only if they have successfully completed similar work(s), during last seven (07) years ending last day of the month previous to the month of tender submission as given below -

- a) Mandatory Eligible Works
  - Should have Satisfactorily Completed similar consultancy work for minimum amount received towards the same shall be INR 19.37 Crore in one Contract.

Or

 Should have Satisfactorily Completed similar consultancy work for Minimum amount received towards the same shall be INR 12.10 Crore in two different contracts each.

Or

iii. Should have Satisfactory Completed similar consultancy work for Minimum amount received towards the same shall be INR 9.68 Crore in three different contracts each.

Similar work: Detail Design Consultancy (DDC) works of Detailed Design of Civil (Structural) / Architectural / Building Services / E&M / Traction works of Elevated / Underground sections, which may include train depot also for Metro Rail /High Speed Rail /Railways/ Regional Rapid Transit System (RRTS)

- <u>iv.</u> In addition to above, tenderer as a single entity or any substantial member or substantial members of the JV/consortium together, shall also have the experience of Civil Structural Design of at least 6.32 km of Viaduct and 05 number of Elevated stations in the above qualifying similar work or any other work in a single contract. Works comprising only train depot will not be considered.
- v. The requirement of designing of Structural/ Architectural/ Building Services including E&M/ Traction works may be satisfied by members of JV/Consortium together or by the sole tenderer bidding as an individual entity. For the purpose of structural design, the proof checking will be treated as equal to the structural design of structures. In case, the above qualifying similar works at sr. no. (i), (ii), (iii), (iv) & (vi) does not include any one (i.e. civil Structural design, Architectural design, Building Services including E&M and Traction works design), of the component(s), then bidder has to submit either one work for each missing component for a value of at least Rs. 50 lakh, in addition to similar work experience required vide NIT clause no. 1.1.3.2.A as mentioned above.
- vi. Further, tenderer as a single entity or any substantial member or substantial members of the JV/consortium together, should have experience of at least one Detailed Design Consultancy or Services comprising of detailed designing of Traction 25 kV Flexible (OHE) works as listed below for elevated viaduct/depot/at grade/underground for a minimum length of 6.32 km in a completed single contract for Metro Railway/ Regional Rapid Transit System /High Speed/Railway Projects in at least one completed work.

# Any of the following-

- a. 25 kV AC Flexible OHE only
- b. 25 kV AC Flexible OHE only & 33/11/0.415 kV AC or higher substations
- c. 25 kV AC Flexible OHE only & SCADA
- d. 25 kV AC Flexible OHE only & 33/11/0.415 kV AC or higher substations & SCADA

#### Notes:

- a. Work experience of only substantial partner (partner with share of 26% or more in the JV/Consortium) shall be considered for evaluating of JV/Consortium.
- b. Substantially Completed means that the eligible projects should have been completed the 100% Design Work with Satisfactory Performance. Documentary proof such as certificates from client clearly indicating the cost and actual date of completion for such work should be submitted.
- c. The tenderer shall submit details of works executed by them in the

experience certificate along with other documents if any) of bidder having highest Total Score shall be sent for verification and certification to the concerned client(s). In case of any concealment or misrepresentation of facts, appropriate action(s) in accordance with Tender Conditions and Suspension/Banning Policy of NMRC shall be taken.

k. If in a joint venture, foreign partner has done the structural design work/ Architectural work/ E&M Work/<u>Traction work</u> in their own country and the same has been submitted by the JV towards their technical qualification, it will be considered only when such partner has done the work of the same or larger magnitude in the country other than their own.

# 1.1.3.2.1 Additional Requirements:

The Tenderer shall also have to comply following requirements to qualify:

- (i) The tenderer shall submit an organization chart together with clear description of the responsibilities of each member within the overall work programme.
- (ii) Deleted.
- (iii) The DDC team shall be headed by a 'Project Leader' who shall have as minimum qualification a bachelor's degree in Architecture or Civil Engineering from a recognized university having relevant experience\* in the concerned field of not less than 15 years out of which 05 years as Project Leader with total experience of not less than 20 years and have the experience of handling minimum 03 projects of similar nature and complexity# as Project Leader/ Team Leader (sufficient proof shall be submitted to substantiate the qualification and experience). Project Leader shall be directly responsible for all design coordination between DDC's (Civil, E&M and Structure) design team as well as coordinating NMRC's system wide requirements. Project Leader shall also coordinate with DDC's Project Manager and shall be the main contact person for NMRC.

The Project Leader must be involved actively in the project execution. He/She will be required to attend all meetings/inspections conducted by NMRC officials. He/She must take prior permission from NMRC for obtaining leave during his tenure as Project Leader. In case the consultant does not follow these instructions, action shall be initiated under the conditions of contract to impose penalty or to terminate the contract.

(iv) The DDC team for each discipline i.e., Civil, Architectural and E&M shall be headed by 'Team Leaders' who shall have as minimum qualification a bachelor's degree in relevant field (Civil

St = 100 x Ta / Ta-max

where,

"St" is the relative Technical score calculated,

"Ta-max" is the highest evaluated absolute Technical Score,

"Ta" is the absolute Technical Score of the proposal under consideration

The purpose of the Mark system is to be able to put the Bidder into a "pecking order" of capability. As much as possible criteria, for awarding Marks have been laid down based on the assignment requirement.

Sr. No.	Criteria	Maximum Marks Assigned	Refer Form
1	Number of Years after Establishment of the Firm	30	
2	Detailed Design Consultancy Services of Elevated/Undergound stations from a Single Contract during the last 10 (Ten) years i.e. up to one month prior to last date of bid submission date in Metro/RRTS/High-Speed/ Railways Projects.	250	
3	Relevant experience of Key personnel in the Detailed Design of Elevated Viaducts/Double-Decker Viaducts and Elevated Stations in the Metro/RRTS/High-Speed/Railways.	<del>160</del> <u><b>100</b></u>	
4	Employment of Key Personnel with the Firm. (Employee Strength) for at least 1 year.	60 <u>120</u>	
5	Presentation to NMRC and Submission of Document: The Document shall include Bidder's understanding of the Scope of the Assignment, the Methodology Proposed to be adopted for carrying out the Assignment including Quality- Related Aspects, the Work Plan, and a tentative Deployment Schedule of Key Experts.	50	
	Maximum Technical Marks	550	

# 3. Second Stage: Financial Evaluation (Maximum Marks = 100)

The bidders who have secured the minimum qualifying marks in the technical evaluation will be informed by e-mail the date and time of opening their financial proposals, with a request to them to attend the same. Financial Proposals shall be opened in the presence of the bidders' representatives who choose to attend.

The evaluation committee appointed by employer will carry out financial evaluation. The Financial Proposals are given cost-score based on the relative ranking of prices, with the lowest evaluated Financial Proposal (Fm) being assigned the maximum financial score (Sf) of 100 (Hundred). The score for each other Financial Proposal is inversely proportional to its price. The formula for determining the financial scores (Sf)

# Marking and Scoring System

TABLE-1: Establishment of the Firm (Maximum Marks = 30)

(In the case of JV/Consortium, the credentials of partner having highest no. of years after the Establishment will be considered and marks will be assigned accordingly).

Sr. No.	Criteria	Distribution of Marks	Remarks				
	Number of years after the 1. Establishment of the Firm.	5 to 10 years: 15 marks	Certificate from Auditor / Chartered Accountant shall be submitted. The period				
1.		>10 years up to 15 years: 22 marks.	shall be considered from the date of certificate of incorporation / Statutory				
ule Filli.	u10 1 IIIII.	>15 years: 30 marks.	Registration Certificate / Shop Act License Certificate (as the case may be) of the bidder.				

TABLE-2: "Detailed Design Consultancy Experience" (Maximum Marks = 250)

(In the case of JV/Consortium, combined no. of stations in single contract each shall be considered and accordingly marks will be assigned) (For this marking design of elevated stations mean Detail Design of Elevated/Underground Stations involving Architectural, Structural and MEP designs.

Sr.	Criteria	Distribution of Marks			
No.					
1.	Detailed Design Consultancy	Design of elevated/underground/at			
	Services of Elevated/Underground/at	Grade stations of minimum 08-10 in			
	Grade stations from a Single	single contract or meeting eligibility			
	Contract during the last 10 (Ten) years i.e. up to one month prior to last	criteria: 200 marks			
	date of bid submission date in	Design of elevated/underground/at			
	Metro/RRTS/High-Speed/Railways	Grade Stations of 11-16 No. in single			
	Projects.	contract: 225 marks			
	Marks are to be given as per	Design of elevated/underground/at			
	<u>clarification provided below -</u>	Grade stations of 17 or above in			
		single contract: 250 marks			

### Clarification for scoring in Table-02 -

# 1. The marks will be given as given below -

Nos. of	<u>7</u>	<u>8</u>	<u>9</u>	<u>10</u>	<u>11</u>	<u>12</u>	<u>13</u>	<u>14</u>	<u>15</u>	<u>16</u>	17 or above
<u>stations</u>											
<u>Marks</u>	<u>o</u>	<u>190</u>	<u>195</u>	200	204	<u>208</u>	<u>213</u>	<u>217</u>	221	225	<u>250</u>

TABLE-3: - Key Personnel Marking (Maximum Marks 160 100)

., ., .,	-o recy i croomine ivi	ariting (Maximani	Marke 100 <u>100</u> )			
Sr. No.	Position	Minimum Requirement in Nos.	Minimum Educational Qualification	Minimum post qualification Experience after Minimum Qualification (years)	Minimum Post- Qualification Relevant Experience after Minimum Qualification (years)	Distribution of Marks for acquiring Minimum Post-Qualification Relevant experience after Minimum Qualification (Maximum Marks = 160 100)
1	Project Leader	1	B.Arch./B.E. Civil	20	15	15 years or above of relevant experience:14 12 Marks 20 years or above of relevant experience :16 14 Marks
2	Team Leader Structure	1	M.E./MTech. (Structure)	15	10	10 years or above of relevant experience: 09 07 Marks  15 years or above of relevant experience :11 09 Marks
3	Team Leader Architecture	1	B.Arch.	15	10	10 years or above of relevant experience: 09 <u>07</u> Marks  15 years or above of relevant experience :11 <u>09</u> Marks

4	Team Leader E&M	1	B.E./B.Tech. (Elec)	15	10	10 years or above of relevant experience: 09 07 Marks 15 years or above of relevant experience :11 09 Marks
5	Team Leader Traction	1	B.E./B.Tech. (Elec)	15	10	10 years or above of relevant experience: 09 <u>07</u> Marks  15 years or above of relevant experience :11 <u>09</u> Marks
6	Expert Viaduct Design	1	M.E./ M.Tech. (Structure Engineer)	12	10	10 years or above of relevant experience:08 <u>03</u> Marks  12 years or above of relevant experience :10 <u>05</u> Marks
7	Expert Station Design	1	M.E./ M.Tech. (Structure Engineer)	12	10	10 years or above of relevant experience:08 <u>03</u> Marks 12 years or above of relevant experience :10 <u>05</u> Marks
8	Expert Geotech & Foundation Design	1	M.E./ M.Tech. (Geoetech)	12	10	10 years or above of relevant experience: 08 03 Marks 12 years or above of relevant experience : 10 05 Marks

9	Expert Architect Station layout	1	B. Arch	12	10	10 years or above of relevant experience:08 <u>03</u> Marks  12 years or above of relevant experience :10 <u>05</u>
10	Expert Architect	•		12	10	Marks 10 years or above of relevant experience:08 03 Marks
	Finishing					12 years or above of relevant experience :40 <u>05</u> Marks
11	Expert Plumbing	1	B. Arch/ B.E. Civil	12	10	10 years or above of relevant experience:08 <u>03</u> Marks
	Expert fullibility		CIVII	12	10	12 years or above of relevant experience :40 <u>05</u> Marks
12	Expert E&M	1	B.E (Elec)	40	40	10 years or above of relevant experience:08 <u>03</u> Marks
	Building Works		, ,	12	10	12 years or above of relevant experience : <del>10</del> <u><b>05</b> Marks</u>
13	Expert Fire	1	P.E. (Elec)		10	10 years or above of relevant experience:08 <u>03</u> Marks
13	Services	1	B.E (Elec)	12		12 years or above of relevant experience :40 <u>05</u> Marks

14	Expert HVAC	1	B.E (Elec)/ B.E.(Mech.)	12	10	10 years or above of relevant experience: 08 03 Mark  12 years or above of relevant experience : 10 05 Marks
15	Expert Traction	1	B.E (Elec)	12	10	10 years or above of relevant experience:08 <u>03</u> Marks  12 years or above of relevant experience :10 <u>05</u> Marks

TABLE-4: Employment of Key Personnel with the Firm <u>for at least 1 year.</u> (Maximum Marks = 60 <u>120</u>) (In case of JV/Consortium, combined strength of personnel shall be considered and accordingly marks will be assigned)

Sr. No.	Criteria	Marks Distribution for Min. Key Personnel employed working with bidder	Remarks
1	Employment of Key Personnel with the firm (refer 1.1.3.2.1 of NIT)	5-10 Nos - 20 marks 11-15 Nos - 40 marks	Certificate including list of employee (Maximum 20 Nos.) from Auditor/ Chartered
	(Max = <u>120</u> 60 55 marks)	16-20 Nos - 60 marks.  Marks are to be given as per Note no. 1 mentioned below.	Accountant and HR Head and Latest Form-16 of Employee and Latest PF return/challan of Employees.

### Note -

### 1. Marks are to be given as below -

_						_												
Ī	Nos. of CVs	0-4	<u>5</u>	<u>6</u>	7	<u>8</u>	9	<u>10</u>	11	12	<u>13</u>	14	<u>15</u>	<u>16</u>	<u>17</u>	<u>18</u>	<u>19</u>	<u>20</u>
I	<u>Marks</u>	NIL	<u>15</u>	22	29	36	<u>43</u>	<u>50</u>	<u>57</u>	64	<u>71</u>	<u>78</u>	85	92	99	106	113	120

- <u>2.</u> \* Only Key person meeting Eligibility Criteria mentioned in Clause 1.1.3.2.1 of NIT and are currently working with bidder for at least 1 year as on date of notification of this bid shall qualify for marks allotted in this section. CV's for all such employee shall be submitted as per bidding form. Bidder shall not submit more than 20 CV's for marks against this section.
- 3. For marking in Table-04, bidders shall mandatorily submit 15 CVs mentioned in Table-03 who are on payroll with Bidder for at least one year and only 05 additional CVs may be submitted as mentioned in Figure-01 of NIT against the requirement of 20 CVs who are on payroll with Bidder for at least one year and as per requirement mentioned in clause 1.1.3.2.1 of NIT. .

TABLE-5: Presentation to Noida Metro and Submission of Document: (Maximum Marks – 50)

Sr. No.	Criteria	Distribution of Marks Grading & Percentage Marks  1. Excellent – 100%  2. Good – 75%  3. Average – 50%  4. Below Average – 25%
1	Presentation to Noida Metro and Submission of Document: The Document shall include Bidder's understanding of the scope of the Assignment, the methodology proposed to be adopted for carrying out the Assignment including quality related aspects, a work plan, and a tentative deployment schedule of Key Experts.  (Max 50 Marks)	Critical Tasks in the Project and Action Plan - 12 Marks Similar Works Executed and Challenges Faced & Innovative Approach Suggested to resolve them – 12 Marks Green Building Design – 12 Marks  Timeline and Program Management – 06 Marks  Quality Intervention & Innovations – 03 Marks  Quality of Overall Presentation – 05 Marks

E-Tend	-Tender No.: NMRC/Projects/NGNEDDC/2025/415				
	Correction in Reply to Pre-Bid Clarification Uploaded via Addendum-02				
S.No.	Section No./Clause No.	Clause Description	Query of bidder	Reply of NMRC	
4	Page No. 34 of ITT TABLE-3: - Key Personnel Marking & Page No. 39 of ITT TABLE-4: Employment of Key Personnel with the Firm	Table 3: 15 Nos. of Key Personnel CV need to be submitted.  Table 4:  * Only Key person meeting Eligibility Criteria mentioned in Section-III A and are currently working with bidder for at least 1 year as on date of notification of this bid shall qualify for marks allotted in this section. CVs for all such employee shall be submitted as per bidding form. Bidder shall not submit more than 20 CVs for marks against this section.	Kindly clarify whether the limit of 20 CVs includes the 15 CVs specified in Table 3, or whether 20 CVs are need to be submit in addition to those specified in Table 3.  We also request to ensure efficient utilization of resources without compromising on quality, we request the Authority to kindly consider reducing the number of Key Personnel from 15 to 5, with combined responsibilities assigned appropriately. This will ensure that qualified experts cover multiple roles, maintaining technical adequacy while allowing greater flexibility in project execution.  Also clarify whether the key personnel listed in Table 3 must necessarily be on the bidder's payroll for at least one year, or whether the bidder can propose qualified experts hired from outside specifically for this project. Considering the specialized nature of expertise required, we request that bidders may also be allowed to propose CVs of external experts hired specifically for this project.	For marking as per Table-04 of Annexure-01 of ITT - The detail of 20 Personnel required in the Table-4 are to be provided based on the 30 Personnel given in Figure-1 of NIT which may must include the 15 Personnel listed in Table 3 who are on payroll with Bidder for at least one year. Additional balance 05 CVs must be submitted as mentioned in Figure-01 of NIT against the requirement of 20 CVs who are on payroll with Bidder for at least one year and as per requirement mentioned in clause 1.1.3.2.1 of NIT. Refer Addendum - 3.  The scoring in Table-4 requires 20 Personnel on the payroll of the agency for at least one year.  For marking as per Table-03 of Annexure-01 of ITT — Further, for scoring 15 Personnel listed-in Table 3, they Personnel may or may not be on the payroll for at least one year.  No, Change in Tender Condition. Refer Addendum-03.	
16	1.1.3 Qualification Criteria	(iii) Tenderers shall not have a conflict of interest. All Tenderers found to have a conflict of interest shall be disqualified. Tenderers shall be considered to have a conflict of interest with one or more parties in this bidding process, if: a. a tenderer has been engaged by the Employer to provide consulting services for the preparation related to procurement for an implementation of the project;  b. a tenderer is any associates/affiliates (inclusive of parent firms) mentioned in subparagraph (a) above; or  c. a tenderer lends, or temporarily seconds its personnel to firms or organizations which are engaged in consulting services for or implementation of the project, if the personnel would be involved in any capacity on the same project.	We understand that the selected bidder of DDC will not have conflict of interest and will be able to participate in general consultancy tender to be floated in future Please Clarify.	No change in tender condition. Refer Addendum-03.	
22	Missing Clause	Termination by Consultant Clause is missing. HIGH RISK!	Termination clause is one sided, where only client can terminate the contract. We suggest that the Consultant shall also have rights to terminate the contract, by giving a notice of 30 days, in following circumstances: If the client fails to pay monies due to the Consultant, and the Consultant has sent a demand notice asking for payment  If the client is in breach of any of the terms of the Contract  If the client becomes bankrupt/ insolvent	No change in tender condition.	

			If as a result of force majeure, work is suspended for a period of more than 60 days.	
34	Table 4 - Employment of Key Personnel with the Firm, page 39 of Volume -1 – ITT		30 nos. of Key Personnel are mentioned in the Fig rough of Normal Structure of Normal	e-04 of nese 30 ided in the 15 er for at itted as f 20 CVs
49	Addendum 1	It is mentioned in Addendum 1 that "Corridors from Noida Sec-51 to Knowledge Park-V (17.435 km) and Noida Sec-142 to Botanical Garden (11.56 km) are optional and may not be executed."	We understand that after award of the work to DDC there is a possibility of curtailing the scope of DDC by removing the Item 1 & 2 (which is more than 90% of the scope of work).  In this scenario our understanding is that DDC will negotiate the fee in case of the mentioned scope of work reduction. Kindly clarify.  Refer Note of Clause 1.1.1 of NIT as per Annexure-1 Add 1.  Further, Refer the Pricing Document wherein the Price to Quoted are separately bifurcated in the 03 Extension Correction.	be
71	NIT, 1.1.1, Page 3R	Corridors from Noida Sec-51 to Knowledge Park V (17.435km) and Noida Sec- 142 to Botanical Garden (11.56 km) are optional and may not be executed	We understand the description of proposed corridors in the given NIT includes:  S. No. Description of Corridor (Km) Station (Km) RSS (in Nos.)	
			Extension of Aqua Line from   1. Noida Sector - 51 to Knowledge   17.435   11   1     Park V (Greater Noida)   Extension of Aqua Line from   2. Noida Sector - 142 to Botanical   11.56   8   1	
			Garden (Noida)  Extension of Aqua Line from Depot Station to Boraki MMTH 2.6 2 0  Refer Note of Clause 1.1.1 of NIT as per Annexure-1 Addition 1.1.1 of NIT as per Annexure-1 1.1.1 of NIT	<u>≥ndum-</u>
			thus the NIT and Scope of work include all three corridors, whereas in the addendum as per the note first two corridors might not be executed, therefore we would like to request NMRCL to kindly clarify if the proposed corridors will not come into design phase and execution, than the value and scope of services will be reduced accordingly and how the consultant will be paid as the proposed cost will be of all three corridors.	
			Please Provide the Clarification.	
80	NGNEDDC/Volume- 1/NIT, Page 4	Earnest Money Deposit (EMD): INR 24,21,000/- (Rupees Twenty-Four Lakh and Twenty- One Thousand only) through RTGS/NEFT only payable in favour of Noida Metro Rail Corporation Limited	We request client to kindly accept the EMD in the form of BG as that will reduce the upfront payment of EMD amount, as BG is widely accepted mechanism from all the esteemed client like NMRCL, thus we request you to kindly accept the EMD in the form of BG.  Refer Addendum-2. No Change in Tender Condition.	

405	Vald NIT ITTO FOT		Mo would like to being to the matter of the alternation	
105	Vol1 NIT.ITT&FOT Pg no 69 of 116	TABLE-3: - Key Personnel Marking  Note: Point 6- Not more than 25% of proposed CVs in bid document will be allowed for substitution at the time of deployment. The substituted CVs shall meet the minimum qualifying criteria or shall be better qualified than the minimum qualification criteria	We would like to bring to the notice of the client that an Addendum 1 has been issued which refers that mentioned Corridors from Noida Sec-51 to Knowledge Park-V (17.435 km) and Noida Sec-142 to Botanical Garden (11.56 km) are optional and may not be executed.  In this regard, we request the client to remove the replacement criteria, as since the quantum of work depends on approvals of the corridors, the availability and mobilization of the team will depend on the schedule of work, which is not fixed currently. Kindly Consider	Refer Note of Clause 1.1.1 of NIT as per Annexure-1 Addendum-1.  Further, Refer the Pricing Document wherein the Price to be Quoted are separately bifurcated in the 03 Extension Corridor.
115	Volume -1 - 5. Marking and Scoring System, Instructions to Tenderer (ITT), Pg. No. 39	Employment of Key Personnel with the Firm. (Maximum Marks = 60)	Kindly clarify the definition and eligibility criteria for "Key Personnel" as per the tender document. We wish to ensure that the professionals we list meet the requirements for optimal scoring.	Details of 20 Personnels out of 30 Personnels mentioned in Figure-01 of NIT who are on the payroll of agency for last one year will be considered for the qualification criteria.  Details of 20 Personnels for marking in Table-04 must include the 15 Personnel listed in Table 3 who are on payroll with Bidder for at least one year. Additional balance 05 CVs must be submitted as mentioned in Figure-01 of NIT against the requirement of 20 CVs who are on payroll with Bidder for at least one year and as per requirement mentioned in clause 1.1.3.2.1 of NIT. Refer Addendum - 3.
125			Please clarify if the Key Personnel CV (20 nos.) to be proposed for scoring criteria should be over and above the Key personal CV submitted against Table-3.	Details of 20 Personnels are to be provided out of 30 Personnels given in Figure-01 of NIT.  Details of 20 Personnels for marking in Table-04 must include the 15 Personnel listed in Table 3 who are on payroll with Bidder for at least one year. Additional balance 05 CVs must be submitted as mentioned in Figure-01 of NIT against the requirement of 20 CVs who are on payroll with Bidder for at least one year and as per requirement mentioned in clause 1.1.3.2.1 of NIT. Refer Addendum - 3.
139	Page 70 of RFP	TABLE-4: Employment of Key Personnel with the Firm. (Maximum Marks = 60)  Sr. Criteria Marks Distribution for Min. Key Personnel employees (Maximum 20)  Personnel with belder working with belder (refer 1.1.2.1 of Nor - 20 marks (Maximum 20)  1 Employment of Key Personnel with belder (refer 1.1.2.1 of Nor - 20 marks (Maximum 20)  11-15 Nor - 40 marks (Maximum 20)  16-20 Nor - 60 marks (Contributed Accountant and Heristical Accountant Accountant and Heristical Accountant Accou	We understand that employment criteria will be applicable on Table-3: - Key Personnel Marking on page 34 of RFP.  As per table-3, 15 CVs are to be submitted in the bid so we understand employment will be judged only for these experts.  Please clarify 16-20 no's of expert referred in the table 4.	Details of 20 Personnels are to be provided out of 30 Personnels
148		Addendum 1: 1.1 General  c. Corridors from Noida Sec-51 to Knowledge Park-V (17.435 km) and Noida Sec-142 to Botanical Garden (11.56 km) are optional and may not be executed.	We kindly request you to confirm this prior to the bid submission, as it is essential for us to clearly understand the scope of work—particularly considering that the mentioned corridors, which may potentially not be executed, comprise approximately 90% of the tender's scope.	Refer Note of Clause 1.1.1 of NIT as per Annexure-1 Addendum-1.  Further, Refer the Pricing Document wherein the Price Quoted are separately bifurcated in the 03 Extension Corridor.
153		Volume 1/ FOT FORM OF TENDER- Appendix- 3A (Undertaking by JV/Consortium members) Page No. 12	In Form of Tender, at Appendix-3A an Undertaking by JV/Consortium members is there.	For JV agreement, refer Annexure-02 <u>03</u> of Contract Forms of Volume-3. For POA, no change in tender condition.

			We request to please provide format for JV/MOU agreement between the partners and formats for POA.	
177	Others	Conflict of Interest	It is requested to Clarify with regard to conflict of interest for participation of GC work if DDC is awarded.	No change in tender condition. Refer Addendum-03.
184	General	Bidding Formats	Request client to provide the proposal submission formats which are not available in the RFP.	Refer Addendum-2. No change in tender condition.
185	Clause 1.1.3 Qualification Criteria	(iii) Tenderers shall not have a conflict of interest. All Tenderers found to have a conflict of interest shall be disqualified. Tenderers shall be considered to have a conflict of interest with one or more parties in this bidding process, if: a. a tenderer has been engaged by the Employer to provide consulting services for the preparation related to procurement for an implementation of the project;	Please confirm whether awarding this assignment to the successful bidder would result in a conflict of interest with any future PMC/GC assignments related to the same project.	No change in tender condition. Refer Addendum-03.
222	NGNEDDC/Volume- 1/NIT Pg. 7	Tenderers shall not have a conflict of interest. All Tenderers found to have a conflict of interest shall be disqualified. Tenderers shall be considered to have a conflict of interest with one or more parties in this bidding process, if: a tenderer has been engaged by the Employer to provide consulting services for the preparation related to procurement for an implementation of the project; a tenderer is any associates/affiliates (inclusive of parent firms) mentioned in subparagraph (a) above; or a tenderer lends, or temporarily seconds its personnel to firms or organizations which are engaged in consulting services for or implementation of the project, if the personnel would be involved in any capacity on the same project.	Would request client for addition of below point: "It is further clarified that the successful bidder for this contract, is not barred from participating in the tender Project/ Programme Management Consultant or General Consultant (GC) provided that the deliverables for DDC shall be scrutinised / proof read by the independent third party. Such third party shall be engaged by NMRCL for such proof reading & design review. This cost shall be borne by the Project/ Programme Management Consultant or General onsultant (GC) upon its selection". Justification: The approach of appointing an independent third party to conduct design proof checks is fully aligned with current industry best practices. In recent years, several esteemed clients—including Gurgaon Metro, Maha Metro, DMRC, and others—have successfully adopted this model. This approach ensures impartial evaluation of designs, further mitigates potential conflicts of interest.  For Kind Consideration.	No change in tender condition. Refer Addendum-03.
230	New Clause	No clause for Suspension/Termination right to the Consultant	We request for a right to suspend /terminate in the event of non-payment, delayed payment or breach of obligation by NMRC as per RFP/Agreement. Kindly Consider.	No change in tender condition.
270	NGNEDDC/ Volume- 3/Scope of Work, clause 2.2.3, Page 9	Post award of civil contracts, the detail design consultant will however to perform:- Detailed design of BMS, Traction, Power Supply & SCADA and E&M for all stations and Depot as well as incorporate requirements of Signaling, Telecom, AFC, Rolling Stock, Track, Lift, Escalator, PSG and all other system wide contractors in design and drawings.	Here again S&T, AFC, RS, track works are added, request to clarify the scope of works of DDC.	DDC is to assist in the preparation of the tenders of Rolling Stock, S&T and Track Works. No change in tender condition.
272	NGNEDDC/ Volume- 3/Scope of Work,	System wide information: The DDC shall incorporate all the relevant information made available to it regarding the system wide works in its design	This tender is for the DDC works of Civil, E&M and Traction works, all other discipline such as Rolling stock,	DDC is to assist in the preparation of the tenders of Rolling Stock, S&T and Track Works. No change in tender condition.

clause 3.6, Page 13-	and other documents including, but not limited to: Rolling Stock, Track work,	S&T, Track works are not part of this tender. Hence	
14	OHE, Signalling, CCTV, SCADA and other works	request to remove other disciplines.	

E-Tend	E-Tender No.: NMRC/Projects/NGNEDDC/2025/415					
	Pre-Bid Clarification no. 02					
S.No.	Section No./Clause No.	Clause Description	Query of bidder	Reply of NMRC		
1	NGNEDDC/Volume 1/FOT, Page 7	Duration of Liability' for the whole of the Works (Defect Liability Period), 24 months reckoned from the date the Stations and viaduct are brought into use for the carriage of the fare-paying public.	We request client to kindly remove the defect liability period for 24 months times, as the said period is extensive and require maintaining performance security beyond 6 months of liability period which is a cost to consultant and risk as well.	No change in tender condition.		
2	General	General	We understand that any extra work instructed by client that is not part of the original scope of work, the payment terms and conditions will be agreed between NMRCL and consultant before starting of the work. Kindly Confirm	No change in tender condition.		
3	Suspension / Termination	Suspension / Termination	We request NMRCL to kindly provide consultant suspension / termination rights in case of delay in payment of the fees by the Client or any material breach due to unseen circumstances. Kindly Confirm	No change in tender condition.		